

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,600	08/25/2003	Namit Jain	50277-2235	4275
29989 HICKMAN PA	7590 03/26/2007 LERMO TRUONG &		EXAM	INER
2055 GATEWAY PLACE			RADTKE, MARK A	
SUITE 550 SAN JOSE, CA	SUITE 550 SAN JOSE, CA 95110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2, 2.		•	2165	
			•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	03/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination				
	10/648,600	JAIN ET AL.				
[Art Unit				
	Mark Radtke	2165				
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC						
Notice of Panel De	cision from Pre	-Appeal Brief Review				
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Bri	ef Request for Review filed	<u>Feb. 12, 2007</u> .				
 1. Improper Request – The Req reason(s): 	uest is improper and a con	ference will not be held for the following				
☐ The Notice of Appeal has no ☐ The request does not include ☐ A proposed amendment is in ☐ Other:	e reasons why a review is a					
The time period for filing a response the mail date of the last Office comm	continues to run from the r unication, if no Notice of A	eceipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from ppeal has been received.				
held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month from the receipt of the notice	appeal because there is at in accordance with 37 CFF om mailing this decision, or of appeal, whichever is gr FR 1.136 based upon the	s – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal the balance of the two-month time period eater. Further, the time period for filing of the mail date of this decision or the receipt date				
 ☑ The panel has determined the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-26. Claim(s) withdrawn from considerations. 		as follows:				
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.						
4. Reopen Prosecution – A confaction will be mailed. No further action		rejection is withdrawn and a new Office at this time.				
All participants:						
(1) Mark Radtke.	(3) <u>Eddi</u>	e C. Lee				
(2) <u>Jeff Gaffin</u> .	(4)	_·				